arXiv:1609.01557v3 [math.DG] 8 Mar 2017

Non orientable three-submanifolds of G_2-manifolds

Leonardo Bagaglini*

March 10, 2017

Abstract

By analogy with associative and co-associative cases we introduce a class of three-dimensional non-orientable submanifolds, of almost G_2-manifolds, modelled on planes lying in a special G_2-orbit. An application of the Cartan-Kähler theory shows that some three-manifold can be presented in this way. We also classify all the homogeneous ones in $\mathbb{RP}^7$.

Introduction

The compact, simply connected, exceptional, real, Lie group G_2, as subgroup of SO(7), acts on the grassmannians $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^7)$ of $k-$planes. This action in transitive unless $k = 3, 4$ (see [4]). It happens that, in $k = 3, 4$ cases, the action has cohomogeneity one with principal isotropy a reducible representation of SO(3). In the oriented case, the remaining two special orbits are isomorphic and have isotropy representation given by a reducible, eight dimensional, SO(4)$-$module; these planes correspond to associative and co-associative ones. But if we consider the not-oriented case, a different special orbit arises, with isotropy type $\mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Its planes are the only ones reversed by G_2. Therefore it is natural to ask whether there exist not-orientable submanifolds, of almost G_2-manifolds, modelled on that orbit. The answer is positive, indeed we prove that such class of manifolds is rich in examples and shows interesting properties.

The paper is structured as follows. First, in Section §1, we analyse the action of G_2 on grassmannians, proving the structure theorem of the orbit space. In Section §2 we introduce the main definition of $\varphi-$*planes*, which determines our local model. Next, in Section §3, we recall fundamental concepts of Cartan-Kähler theory and in Section §4 we prove that some closed, analytic, three-manifold can be presented as $\varphi-$submanifold of an open G_2-manifold (Theorem 4.5 and Corollary 4.6). In Section §5 we classify all the homogeneous not-orientable $\varphi-$manifolds in $\mathbb{RP}^7$, when equipped with the canonical nearly parallel structure (Theorem (5.3)).

Generally we refer to manifolds equipped with a G_2-structure as G_2-manifolds in the torsion-free case, otherwise as *almost* G_2-manifolds.

*Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica "Ulisse Dini". Università degli Studi di Firenze. Viale Morgagni, 67/a - 50134, Firenze, Italy. E-mail address: leonardo.bagaglini@unifi.it.

1 G_2 actions on Grassmannians

In this section we describe the action of G_2 on grassmannians of three and four-planes of $\mathbb{R}^7$, both oriented and non oriented. In the sequel if Z is a compact manifold on which a compact Lie group G acts with cohomegenity one, by notation $Z/G = [G/H_1|G/K|G/H_2]$ we mean that the orbits space Z/G is diffeomorphic to the closed interval and G/H_1, G/H_2, G/K are models for special orbits and generic one respectively. We refer to [3] for an exhaustive treatment of general theory of compact Lie groups.

Let X be the grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_4^+(\mathbb{R}^7)$ of oriented four-planes of $\mathbb{R}^7$. We often denote planes $\xi \in X$ by $u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge u_3 \wedge u_4$, for ordered linear independent vectors $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in \xi$. Identify $\mathbb{R}^7$ with the reducible $\mathrm{SO}(4)-$module

$$\mathbb{R}^4 \oplus \Lambda^2_- \mathbb{R}^4, \tag{1}$$

where $\Lambda^2_- \mathbb{R}^4$ represents the pre-dual space of anti-self dual two-forms on $\mathbb{R}^4$.
Let (x^1, x^2, x^3, r^0) be standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^4$ so that the two-forms

$$\omega_1 = dr^0 \wedge dx^1 + dx^2 \wedge dx^3, \quad \omega_2 = dr^0 \wedge dx^2 - dx^1 \wedge dx^3, \quad \omega_3 = dr^0 \wedge dx^3 + dx^1 \wedge dx^2,$$

are a basis of $\Lambda^2_-(\mathbb{R}^4)^*$. Consider (r^1, r^2, r^3) the dual basis of $(\omega_1, \omega_1, \omega_3)$ in $\Lambda^2_- \mathbb{R}^4$. Then the three-form

$$\varphi = \omega_1 \wedge dr^1 + \omega_2 \wedge dr^2 + \omega_3 \wedge dr^3 - dr^{123}, \tag{2}$$

is stable[1] and positive[2] (see [8]), therefore the action of its stabiliser, in $\mathrm{GL}(7, \mathbb{R})$, on $\mathbb{R}^7$ corresponds to the seven dimensional irreducible representation $G_2 \subset \mathrm{SO}(7)$, where $(\underline{x}, \underline{r})$ are orthonormal and positive oriented coordinates. We refer to φ as the *fundamental* three-form associated to G_2[3].

Definition 1.1. We call $(\underline{x}, \underline{r})$ *Cayley coordinates* if φ is given by (2).

Remark 1.2. Observe that the Hodge dual ϕ of φ, also known as the *fundamental* four-form, is given by

$$\phi = -\omega_1 \wedge dr^{12} + \omega_2 \wedge dr^{13} - \omega_3 \wedge dr^{12} + dr^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3.$$

with respect to Cayley coordinates.

Proposition 1.3. *[7] The action of G_2 on X has cohomogeneity one with principal isotropy $\mathrm{SO}(3) \subset \mathrm{SO}(4)$, via representation (1). There are two G_2-isomorphic special orbits, each one of isotropy type $\mathrm{SO}(4)$, which are through*

$$\xi_+ = \frac{\partial}{\partial r^0} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \quad \textit{and} \quad \xi_- = -\xi_+,$$

respectively.

[1] Its $\mathrm{GL}(7, \mathbb{R})-$orbit is open.

[2] It induces a positive definite metric.

[3] Such form is defined by (the irreducible seven dimensional representation of) G_2 up to constant. It can be fixed by choosing an orientation and imposing $||\varphi||^2 = 7$.

A path ξ, parametrizing the orbits, starting from ξ_- and ending at ξ_+, is given by

$$\xi_\theta = \left(\sin(\theta)\frac{\partial}{\partial r^0} + \cos(\theta) \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial r^1}\right) \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3}, \quad \forall \theta \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right].$$

Observe that

$$\phi|_{\xi_\theta} = \sin(\theta)(\sin(\theta)dr^0 + \cos(\theta)dr^1) \wedge dx \wedge dy \wedge dz,$$

thus there exists only one (principal) orbit $\mathscr{O}_0$, through ξ_0, such that

$$\mathscr{O}_0 = \{\sigma \in X \mid \phi|_\sigma = 0\}.$$

Hence the following holds.

Corollary 1.4. *G_2 can reverse planes lying in $\mathscr{O}_0$.*

Proof. The corollary follows since condition $\phi|_\sigma = 0$, if $\sigma \in X$, does not depend on the orientation, hence both σ and $-\sigma$ belong to the same orbit. □

Let

$$\varepsilon : X \longrightarrow X,$$

be the map which reverses the orientations, and

$$p : X \longrightarrow Y,$$

be the quotient map over $Y = X/\varepsilon$, the grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_4(\mathbb{R}^7)$ of non oriented planes. Then

$$\varepsilon(\mathscr{O}_\pm) = \mathscr{O}_\mp \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon(\mathscr{O}_0) = \mathscr{O}_0.$$

Proposition 1.5. *The action of G_2 on Y has cohomogeneity one with principal isotropy* $\mathrm{SO}(3)$. *There are two, not equivalent, special orbits, of isotropy types* $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ *and* $\mathrm{SO}(3)\times\mathbb{Z}_2$ *respectively.*

Proof. It is easy to see that there exists an open, connected, G_2-invariant neighbourhood $\mathscr{V}$ of $\mathscr{O}_+$ such that $\varepsilon(\mathscr{V}) \cap \mathscr{V} = \emptyset$. Hence the restriction of p

$$p|_{\mathscr{V}} : \mathscr{V} \longrightarrow p(\mathscr{V}),$$

is a G_2-equivariant diffeomorphism onto an open G_2-invariant neighbourhood of $p(\mathscr{O}_+)$. Then it follows that the action has cohomogeneity one, principal isotropy $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ and one special orbit $p(\mathscr{O}_+)$ of isotropy type $\mathrm{SO}(4)$. Now let H and K be the stabilisers of $p(\xi_0) \in Y$ and $\xi_0 \in X$ respectively. Since

$$hKh^{-1} \subseteq K, \quad \forall h \in H,$$

and p is a double cover, K is a normal subgroup of H with index 2. Thus $H = K \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ is the isotropy of the special orbit $p(\mathscr{O}_0)$ through $p(\xi_0)$. Summarizing

$$Y/G_2 = [G_2/SO(4)|G_2/\mathrm{SO}(3)|G_2/\mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathbb{Z}_2].$$

□

The analysis we have just completed turns out to describe also the orbits space of both $\mathrm{Gr}_3^+(\mathbb{R}^7)$ and $\mathrm{Gr}_3(\mathbb{R}^7)$, as shown by the following remark.

Remark 1.6. Since

$$\mathrm{Gr}_4^+(\mathbb{R}^7) \cong \mathrm{Gr}_3^+(\mathbb{R}^7) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Gr}_4(\mathbb{R}^7) \cong \mathrm{Gr}_3(\mathbb{R}^7),$$

by $\mathrm{SO}(7)-$equivariant isomorphisms, such manifolds are isomorphic as G_2-spaces too.

2 Local model

In this section we investigate the local model of three-planes lying in $\mathscr{O}_0$.

By analogy with the previous section let

$$p : \mathrm{Gr}_3^+(\mathbb{R}^7) \to \mathrm{Gr}_3(\mathbb{R}^7),$$

be the two-fold covering which forgets the orientations.

Definition 2.1. Planes lying in $p(\mathscr{O}_0) \subset \mathrm{Gr}_3(\mathbb{R}^7)$ are called $\varphi-$planes.

Remark 2.2. $\varphi-$planes are characterized by the the vanishing of the three-form φ. In fact the path

$$\xi_\theta = \left(\sin(\theta)\frac{\partial}{\partial t^1} + \cos(\theta)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}\right) \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3}, \quad \theta \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right],$$

parametrizes the orbits and meets $\mathscr{O}_0$ in $\theta = 0$.

Now, consider Cayley coordinates $(\underline{x}, \underline{r})$ and let $\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \in \mathscr{O}_0$. Its stabiliser in G_2 is

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathrm{SO}(3) \right\} \cong \mathrm{SO}(3).$$

While the stabiliser of its projection $p(\xi)$ is $H = K \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, where

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1_{\mathrm{SO}(3)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_{\mathrm{SO}(3)} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1_{\mathrm{SO}(3)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_{\mathrm{SO}(3)} \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Consider $\xi^\perp$, explicitly $\xi^\perp = \frac{\partial}{\partial r^0} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial r^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial r^3}$, and decompose it as orthogonal sum $\xi^\perp = \mu \oplus \lambda$, where $\mu = \mathrm{Span}(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^0})$ and $\lambda = \mathrm{Span}(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial r^3})$. Let H_ξ and $H_{\xi^\perp}$ be the groups $\mathrm{O}(\mu) \times \mathrm{SO}(\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{O}(\xi^\perp)$ respectively. Then the following proposition holds.

Proposition 2.3. *There exist omomorphisms f_1 and f_2 such that the diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GL}(\xi) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_1} & \nearrow & \\ H_\xi & & \end{array} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} H & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GL}(\xi^\perp) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_2} & \nearrow & \\ H_{\xi^\perp} & & \end{array}$$

are commutative. Moreover the representations of H *on* $\xi^{\perp} = \mu \oplus \lambda$ *and* $\Lambda^3\xi^* \oplus \Lambda^2\xi^*$ *are equivalent, with an explicit equivariant isomorphism given by*

$$\mu \oplus \lambda \longrightarrow \Lambda^3\xi^* \oplus \Lambda^2\xi^*,$$

$$(u, v) \longrightarrow (i_u\phi|_\xi, i_v\varphi|_\xi).$$

Proof. The proof is a straightforward computation performed in Cayley coordinates. □

3 Cartan-Kähler theory

For the sake of clarity we recall the key concepts and theorems of Cartan-Kähler theory and its applications to G_2-geometry. For a detailed treatment we refer to [5] and [6].

Let N be a manifold and $\mathscr{I}$ be a differential ideal of the ring $\Omega^*(N)$. Denote by $\mathscr{I}^n$ the intersection $\mathscr{I} \cap \Omega^n(N)$ and suppose that $\mathscr{I}^0$ is empty.
Let E be a $n-$dimensional subspace of some tangent space, T_xN, of N. We say E an *integral element* of $\mathscr{I}$, equivalently $E \in V^n(\mathscr{I})$, if every $n-$form lying in $\mathscr{I}$ vanishes when restricted to E. An integral element is said to be *ordinary* if, locally, $V^n(\mathscr{I}) \subset \mathrm{Gr}_n(TN)$ appears as the zero locus of some non zero functions with linear independent differentials.
If E is an integral element of $\mathscr{I}$ we define its polar space $H(E)$ as the set of $n+1-$dimensional integral extensions of E, explicitly

$$H(E) = \left\{ v \in T_xX \,|\, (i_v\delta)|_E = 0,\ \forall \delta \in \mathscr{I}^{n+1} \right\}.$$

The *extension rank* of E is the integer $r(E) = \dim H(E) - n - 1$. Observe that E is maximal if and only if $r(E) = -1$. We say E *regular* if it is ordinary and r is locally constant around it.
An integral manifold Y of $\mathscr{I}$ is a submanifold of N whose tangent spaces are all integral elements. It is said to be ordinary, or regular, if its tangent spaces are. Now we are ready to state the Cartan-Kähler Theorem.

Theorem 3.1 (Cartan-Kähler Theorem)**.** *Let* N *be an analytic manifold,* $\mathscr{I} \subset \Omega^*(N)$ *be a analytic, differential ideal and* X *be one of its analytic, integral,* $n-$*dimensional manifolds. Suppose* X *is regular, with extension rank* $r \geq 0$*, and let* Z *be an analytic submanifold, of codimension* r*, containing* X *and transversal to each of its polar spaces. Then there exists an analytic, integral,* $(n+1)-$*dimensional manifold* Y *satisfying*

$$X \subset Y \subset Z.$$

Moreover, if Y' *is a manifold with the same properties, then* $Y \cap Y'$ *is still an integral* $(n+1)-$*dimensional manifold.*

In order to verify regularity of an integral element we will need the following result, known as Cartan's test of regularity. But first we give some other definitions.

Let E be a $n-$dimensional, integral element of $\mathscr{I}$. An integral flag $(E_j)_j$, of length n with terminus E, is an increasing filtration of $n+1$ vector spaces verifying the followings:

$$E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_n = E, \quad E_j \in V^j(\mathscr{I}), \quad \dim E_j = j \quad j = 0, \ldots, n.$$

Let $(E_j)_j$ be an integral flag of length n and c_j be the codimension of $H(E_j)$ in the appropriate tangent space, for each j. Call $(E_j)_j$ regular if E_j, $j < n$, is regular and denote by C the sum over j of each c_j.

Proposition 3.2 (Cartan's test)**.** *Let $(E_j)_j$ be a regular integral flag of length n. Then, locally around E_n, $V^n(\mathscr{I})$ lies in a codimension C submanifold of the grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_n(TN)$. Moreover the flag is regular if and only if, near E_n, $V^n(\mathscr{I})$ is a smooth manifold of codimension C.*

Let N be a seven dimensional manifold and suppose its frame bundle[4] $\mathscr{F} \xrightarrow{P} N$, where

$$\mathscr{F} = \left\{\xi_x \mid \xi_x : \mathbb{R}^7 \to T_xN,\ x \in N\right\},$$

can be reduced to a principal G_2-bundle $\mathscr{P}$. This is equivalent to the existence of a global section of the fiber bundle $\mathscr{F}/G_2 \xrightarrow{f} N$ defined by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{F} & \xrightarrow{Q} & \mathscr{F}/G_2 \\ & \searrow^{P} & \downarrow f \\ & & N \end{array}$$

or, similarly, to the existence of a stable and positive (locally given by (2)), three-form φ.

Let us denote the total space $\mathscr{F}/G_2$ by S. Following Bryant (see [6]) we introduce differential ideals $\mathscr{I}$'s on $\mathscr{F}$ and S (labelled by the same letter), related by the projection Q, as follows. Let $\Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^7)^{G_2}$ be the ring of G_2-invariant, constant coefficients, differential forms on $\mathbb{R}^7$, and, for each $\delta_0 \in \Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^7)^{G_2}$, consider

$$\tilde{\delta} \in \Omega^*(\mathscr{F}), \quad \text{where} \quad \delta_0\left(\xi^*\left(P_*(.)\right)\right) = \tilde{\delta}_\xi(.), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathscr{F}.$$

Now define

$$\mathscr{I} =< \left\{d(\tilde{\delta}) \mid \delta_0 \in \Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^7)^{G_2}\right\} > .$$

The role of $\mathscr{I}$ in the study of G_2-structures is well explained by the following theorem. Recall that a G_2-structure is said to be *torsion-free* if the Levi-Civita connection restricts to $\mathscr{P}$.[5].

Theorem 3.3. *Let $V^7(\mathscr{I}, f)$ be the set of seven dimensional integral elements of $\mathscr{I}$ which are transversal to the fibers of $f : S \to N$. Then $V^7(\mathscr{I}, f)$ consists of tangent spaces to graphs of local sections corresponding to torsion-free structures.*

Remark 3.4. Let F be the projection $\mathscr{F} \to N$. Then

$$\mathrm{codim}(V^7(\mathscr{I}, F), \mathrm{Gr}_7(T\mathscr{F})) = \mathrm{codim}(V^7(\mathscr{I}, f), \mathrm{Gr}_7(TS)).$$

4 Existence results

In this section we prove existence of closed, connected, three-submanifolds of (open) G_2-manifolds, modelled on $\varphi-$planes.

[4]The action of $a \in \mathrm{GL}(7, \mathbb{R})$ on $\xi \in \mathscr{F}$ is given by $\xi.a = \xi \circ a$.

[5]Other equivalent conditions are: φ is parallel; φ is both closed and co-closed

Definition 4.1. A submanifold X of an almost G_2-manifold (N,φ) is said to be $\varphi-$manifold if all its tangent spaces are $\varphi-$planes or, equivalently, if the pullback of φ to X vanishes.

Remark 4.2. Any submanifold X of a co-associative one, say Y, is, by definition, $\varphi-$manifold. Moreover, since for any $\varphi-$plane there is a unique direction defining a co-associative extension, such X defines $TY|_X$.

Before proving the main theorem of this section we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let X be a $\varphi-$manifold of some, connected, almost G_2 manifold (N,φ). Assume that there exist an isometry τ of N and a point $x\in X$ such that*

$$\tau(x)=x,\quad (\tau^*)\varphi_x=\varphi_x,\quad \tau^*|_{T^*_xX}=\mathrm{Id}_{T^*_xX}.$$

Then $\tau=\mathrm{Id}_N$. In particular if τ_1 and τ_2 are isometries of N preserving the structure, and they agree on some open set of X, then $\tau_1=\tau_2$.

Proof. Consider Cayley coordinates around x. Since τ^*_x preserves φ_x its matrix representation lies in G_2; explicitly

$$\tau^*_x=\begin{pmatrix}\pm t & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \pm 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & t\end{pmatrix},\quad \text{for some}\quad t\in \mathrm{SO}(3).$$

But $\pm t$ represents $\tau^*_x|_{T^*_xX}$, which is the identity, hence $\tau^*_x=\mathrm{Id}_{T_xN}$. Since an isometry fixing x and acting identically on T_xN must be Id_N (N is connected), it follows $\tau=\mathrm{Id}_N$. □

Remark 4.4. Recall that, as stressed by Bryant in [6], a closed, orientable, analytic, Riemannian three-manifold always admits an analytic parallelization. This follows since every orientable three-manifold is parallelizable (see [13]) and analytic differential forms on closed, analytic manifolds, are dense in the space of smooth differential forms (see [1]).

Theorem 4.5. *Let X be a closed, connected, orientable, analytic, Riemannian, three-manifold. Then X can be isometrically embedded into an open, analytic, G_2-manifold N as $\varphi-$manifold contained in a co-associative one, the last isometric to $X\times S^1$. Moreover if there exists an analytic, non trivial, involutive isometry $\tau\in\mathrm{Iso}(X)$ and an orthonormal co-frame $(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3)$ such that $\tau^*\alpha_j=\alpha_j$, if $j=1,2$, and $\tau^*\alpha_3=-\alpha_3$, then τ can be extended to an unique involutive isometry, which preserves the structure, on whole N.*

Proof. Let τ be as in the second part of the statement, otherwise put $\tau=\mathrm{Id}_X$. Let $\eta=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3)$ be an orthonormal global co-frame and $f\in\mathrm{O}(3)$ defined by

$$f.\eta=(\tau^*\eta).$$

Consider the section ξ of the the frame bundle $\mathscr{F}$, over $M=X\times S^1\times\mathbb{R}^3$, defined by the co-frame $(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,dr^0,dr^1,dr^2,dr^3)$, where r^0 is the angle coordinate on S^1 and (r^1,r^2,r^3) are coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Now define $\underline{\tau}\in\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ as

$$\underline{\tau}(x,r^0,r^1,r^2,r^3)=(\tau(x),\det(f)r^0,(\det(f)f).(r^1,r^2,r^3)),\quad \forall(x,\underline{r})\in M.$$

Thanks to the following identification of principal bundles

$$\begin{aligned} M \times \mathrm{GL}(7,\mathbb{R}) &\longrightarrow \quad \mathscr{F}, \\ (x,\underline{r};a) \quad &\longrightarrow \xi_{(x,\underline{r})}.a. \end{aligned}$$

the action of $\underline{\tau}$ on $\mathscr{F}$ is given by

$$\underline{\tau}_*(x,\underline{r};a) = (\underline{\tau}(x,\underline{r});ta), \quad \forall (x,\underline{r}) \in M,$$

where $t = t^{-1} \in G_2$ is

$$t = \begin{pmatrix} f & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \det(f) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \det(f)f \end{pmatrix}.$$

In fact, if $m = (x,\underline{r}) \in M$ and $a \in \mathrm{GL}(7,\mathbb{R})$, the following diagram turns out to be commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{R}^7 & \xrightarrow{\quad a \quad} & \mathbb{R}^7 & \xrightarrow{\quad \xi_m \quad} & T_mM \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle t_m} & \searrow^{(\tau_*\xi)_{\tau(m)}} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_*} \\ & & \mathbb{R}^7 & \xrightarrow{\quad \xi_{\tau(m)} \quad} & T_{\tau(m)}M, \end{array}$$

hence $\tau_*(\xi_m.a) = \xi_{\tau(m)}.t_m a$.
Let S be the total space of the G_2-structure bundle associated to $\mathscr{F}$ defined by

$$Q : \mathscr{F} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}/G_2 = S.$$

The map $\underline{\tau}_*$ descends to a well defined diffeomorphism $[\underline{\tau}_*]$ of S, given by[6]

$$[\underline{\tau}_*][x,\underline{r};a] = [\underline{\tau}(x,\underline{r});ta].$$

Now, the form $\tilde{\varphi} \in \Omega^3(M)$

$$\tilde{\varphi} = (dr^0 \wedge \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3)dr^1 + (dr^0 \wedge \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_3)dr^2 + (dr^0 \wedge \alpha_3 + \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2)dr^3 - dr^1 \wedge dr^2 \wedge dr^3,$$

is stable, positive, $\underline{\tau}-$invariant and related to $\sigma \in \Gamma(M,S)$

$$\sigma(x,\underline{r}) = [x,\underline{r};1], \quad \forall (x,\underline{r}) \in M.$$

Let $\underline{\varphi}$ be its pullback on $\mathscr{F}$.

Before proceeding further fix $(x,\underline{r}) \in M$. Observe that f leaves unchanged a complete flag of $\mathbb{R}^3$

$$\{0\} = L_0 \subset L_1 \subset L_2 \subset L_3 = \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Then we may consider the complete flag $(F_k)_k$ of $\mathbb{R}^7$ given by

$$\{0\} = \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \oplus L_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \oplus L_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \oplus L_3 = \mathbb{R}^7.$$

Consider a seven dimensional integral element $E_7 \subset T\mathscr{F}$ of the ideal $\mathscr{I}$, intrudced in §3, transverse to the fiber over $(x,\underline{r})$. If $\theta = (\theta_k)_k$ represents the tautological one-form

[6] In the sequel square brackets denote points in S as follows $[x,\underline{r};a] = \{(x,\underline{r};ab) \mid b \in G_2\}$.

on $\mathscr{F}$ with respect to ξ^7, then E_7 is the terminus of the complete integral flag $(E_k)_k$ given by

$$\begin{cases} E_k = \{e \in E_7 \,|\, \theta_j(e) = 0,\ k < j\}\,, & \text{if} \quad 0 \leq k \leq 4, \\ E_5 = \{e \in E_7 \,|\, \theta_5(e) + \theta_6(e) + \theta_7(e) \in L_1\}\,, \\ E_6 = \{e \in E_7 \,|\, \theta_5(e) + \theta_6(e) + \theta_7(e) \in L_2\}\,. \end{cases}$$

In order to compute the polar spaces of E_k identify $\mathbb{R}^k$ with F_k, define, for $0 \leq k \leq 7$, $\iota_k : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^7$, and consider the decreasing filtration $(\mathfrak{h}_k)_k$ of vector spaces given by

$$\mathfrak{h}_k = \left\{ A \in \mathfrak{gl}(7, \mathbb{R}) \mid \iota_k^*(A^*\delta) = 0 \quad \forall \delta \in \left(\Lambda^* \mathbb{R}^7\right)^{G_2} \right\}.$$

Observe that, if $c_k = \operatorname{codim}(\mathfrak{h}_k, \mathfrak{gl}(7, \mathbb{R}))$, it turns out that

$$(c_0, \dots, c_7) = (0, 0, 0, 1, 5, 15, 28, 35).$$

In fact the computation is straightforward for $k < 5$, and, if $k \geq 5$, there exists a G_2-isometry turning F_k into any given $k-$plane: if we choose one of them a computation shows the claim.

Then, identifying $\mathrm{GL}(7, \mathbb{R})$ with the fiber, it turns out

$$H(E_k) = \mathfrak{h}_k + E_7.$$

Thus, by Cartan's test, $(E_k)_k$ is a regular integral flag of $\mathscr{I}$. Moreover, by Remark 3.4, also $([E_k])_k$ is an integral regular flag of $\mathscr{I}$ on S, still transverse to the fiber.

For future reference observe that, since $\iota_k(\mathbb{R}^k)$, for $k \geq 4$, is a $t-$module, t acts on $\mathbb{R}^k$ and

$$\iota_k^* t^* = t^* \iota_k^*, \quad \text{on} \quad \Lambda^* \mathbb{R}^7.$$

As consequence, if $k \geq 4$, $\mathfrak{h}_k$ turns out to be $\mathrm{Ad}(t)-$invariant:

$$\iota_k^*((tAt)^*\delta) = \iota_k^*(t^* A^* t^* \delta) = t^* \iota_k^*(A^*\delta) = 0, \quad \forall A \in \mathfrak{h}_k, \delta \in \left(\Lambda^* \mathbb{R}^7\right)^{G_2}.$$

By hypotheses we can equip $\mathfrak{gl}(7, \mathbb{R})$ with an $\mathrm{Ad}(t)-$invariant metric and define the increasing filtration $(W_k)_k$ of invariant subspaces given by

$$W_k = \mathfrak{h}_k^\perp, \quad k \geq 4.$$

Now, for each $k \geq 4$, let U_k be an $\mathrm{Ad}(t)-$invariant open neighbourhood of $0 \in W_k$ such that the map

$$\begin{aligned} U_k \times G_2 &\longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(7, \mathbb{R}), \\ (u, g) &\longrightarrow e^u g, \end{aligned}$$

is an embedding. It exists since W_k does not intersect $\mathfrak{h}_k$, which contains $\mathfrak{g}$. With no loss of generality we may suppose $U_k \subset U_{k+1}$.

Finally we are ready to apply the Cartan-Käheler Theorem to produce integral manifolds of $\mathscr{I}$.
First, define X_4 as

$$X_4 = \left\{ [x, r^0, \underline{0}; 1] \mid x \in X,\ r^0 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

[7] Explicitly $\theta_k(\xi^h) = \delta_k^h$.

Obviously X_4 is $[\underline{\tau}_*]-$invariant. Moreover it is a $4-$dimensional integral manifold of $\mathscr{I}$ (since $(Q_*\underline{\varphi})|_{X_4} = 0$ and $d(Q_*\underline{\phi})|_{X_4} = 0$) whose tangent spaces are all regular elements of type $[E_4]$ with respect to a regular flag introduced before. Consequently X_4 has extension rank $r(X_4) = 32$.
Now consider the $10-$dimensional manifold

$$Z_4 = \left\{[x, r^0, s; e^u] \mid x \in X,\ r^0 \in \mathbb{R},\ s \in L_1,\ u \in U^4\right\}.$$

Z_4 is $[\underline{\tau}_*]-$invariant, in fact

$$\begin{aligned}[\underline{\tau}_*]\left[x, r^0, s; e^u\right] &= \left[\underline{\tau}(x, r^0, s); te^u\right] \\ &= \left[\underline{\tau}(x, r^0, s); e^v t\right], \\ &= \left[\underline{\tau}(x, r^0, s); e^v\right] \\ &\quad \text{for some } v \in U^4.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover its tangent spaces are transversal to the polar spaces of type $H([E_4])$, and, by the Cartan-Kähler theorem, there exists a $5-$dimensional integral manifold Y_4 of $\mathscr{I}$, verifying

$$X_4 \subset Y_4 \subset Z_4.$$

By invariance of Z_4 and uniqueness also $X_5 = Y_4 \cap [\underline{\tau}_*]Y_4$ is a $5-$dimensional integral manifold of $\mathscr{I}$ with the same property.
Replacing X_5 with a neighbourhood of X_4 if necessary we may assume that X_5 is connected, $[\underline{\tau}_*]-$invariant, and the graph of a section over an open neighbourhood of $\left\{(x, r^0, 0, 0, 0)\right\}$ in $\left\{(x, r^0, s) \mid s \in L_1\right\}$. Since it is a graph, its tangent spaces are regular of type E_5.

Now it would be clear what strategy we are following. The rest of the proof will proceed as we have just seen.

Define the $21-$dimensional manifold Z_5 as follows

$$Z_5 = \left\{[x, r^0, s; e^u] \mid x \in X,\ r^0, \in \mathbb{R},\ s \in L_2,\ u \in U^5\right\}.$$

It is $[\underline{\tau}_*]-$invariant, meets the polar spaces of X_5 transversally, and its codimension equals the extension rank of X_5.
Thus there exists a $6-$dimensional integral manifold Y_5 of $\mathscr{I}$ satisfying

$$X_5 \subset Y_5 \subset Z_5.$$

Defining X_6 to be $Y_5 \cap [\underline{\tau}_*]Y_5$, and replacing it with a suitable neighbourhood of X_5, we may assume that X_6 is a connected graph of a section over an open neighbourhood of $\left\{(x, r^0, s) \mid s \in L_1\right\}$ in $\left\{(x, r^0, s) \mid s \in L_2\right\}$, hence also regular.

Define the $35-$dimensional manifold Z_6 as follows

$$Z_6 = \left\{[x, r^0, \underline{r}; e^u] \mid x \in X,\ r^0, r^1, r^2, r^3 \in \mathbb{R},\ u \in U^6\right\}.$$

It is $[\underline{\tau}_*]-$invariant, meets the polar spaces of X_6 transversally, and its codimension equals the extension rank of X_6.
Thus there exists a $7-$dimensional integral manifold Y_6 of $\mathscr{I}$ satisfying

$$X_6 \subset Y_6 \subset Z_6.$$

Finally defining Y as $Y_6 \cap [\underline{\tau}_*]Y_6$, and replacing it with a suitable neighbourhood of X_6, we may assume that Y is a connected graph of a section, say ς, over an open ($\underline{\tau}-$invariant) neighbourhood N of $\left\{(x, r^0, s) \,|\, s \in L_2\right\}$ in M. Such ς defines a torsion-free G_2-structure on N, which agrees with that one induced by φ on X. Hence X turns out to be a $\varphi-$manifolds contained in the, compact, co-associative, $X \times S^1$. Finally the restriction of $\underline{\tau}$ is the unique isometry, by Lemma 4.3, which extends τ. □

The previous theorem allows us to prove the following corollary, on existence of non-orientable $\varphi-$manifolds.

Corollary 4.6. *Let X be a closed, connected, non orientable, analytic, Riemannian three-manifold, and $\pi : X' \to X$ its Riemannian orientation covering. Suppose there exist two orthonormal one-forms, a_1 and a_2, on X. Then there exist two open G_2-manifolds N' and N containing X' and X as $\varphi-$manifolds respectively, and a two-fold covering $\underline{\pi} : N' \to N$ preserving the structures and extending π. Moreover X' and X are contained in $\underline{\pi}$-related, closed, co-associative submanifolds Y', Y, isometric to $X' \times S^1$ and $(X' \times S^1)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ respectively. In particular X defines a non trivial class of $H^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ (see [2]).*

Proof. Let τ the non trivial deck transformation of π. Fix an orientation and a $\tau-$invariant metric on X' and define $\alpha_j = \pi^* a_j$, for $j = 1, 2$, and $\alpha_3 = *(\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2)$. Obviously $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 4.5, therefore there exists an open G_2-manifold N', containing X' as $\varphi-$manifold (inducing the same Riemannian structure). Moreover there exists a unique isometry $\underline{\tau}$, extending τ and preserving the structure. By Lemma 4.3 such extension verifies $\underline{\tau}^2 = \mathrm{Id}_M$.

Since the group generated by $\underline{\tau}$ acts freely we can consider a $\underline{\tau}-$invariant tubular neighbourhood $N(X' \times S^1)$, of $X' \times S^1$ in N', on which the restriction of $\underline{\tau}$ has no fixed points. Consequently the space $N = N(X' \times S^1)/\underline{\tau}$ turns out to be a manifold. Furthermore N inherits a torsion-free G_2-structure and its submanifold $X'/\underline{\tau}$, naturally isometric to X, satisfies the condition of being a $\varphi-$manifold. Observe that the last is contained in the compact co-associative submanifold $(X' \times S^1)/\underline{\tau}$. □

The following example shows a manifold obtained with trivial applications of the Cartan-Kähler argument.

Example 4.7. Let $X' = \mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$ be the three-torus and τ be the involution

$$\tau(x^1, x^2, x^3) = \left(x^2, x^1, x^3 + \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad \forall (x^1, x^2, x^3) \in X'.$$

Then τ is the non trivial deck transformation of an orientation covering $\pi : X' \to X$, since it has no fixed points. Now consider $\left\{dx^1, dx^2, dx^3\right\}$ on X' and let T^4 be the four-torus[8] and $f \in \mathrm{Diff}(T^4)$, $f_\tau \in \mathrm{Diff}(X' \times T^4)$ given by

$$f(r^0, r^1, r^2, r^3) = (-r^0, -r^2, -r^1, -r^3), \quad f_\tau = \tau \times f.$$

Then the form

$$\tilde{\varphi} = (dr^0 \wedge dx^1 + dx^2 \wedge dx^3)dr^1 + (dr^0 \wedge dx^2 - dx^1 \wedge dx^3)dr^2 + (dr^0 \wedge dx^3 + dx^1 \wedge dx^2)dr^3 - dr^1 \wedge dr^2 \wedge dr^3.$$

[8] We could use $\mathbb{R}^4$ as well.

descends to a stable, positive, closed and co-closed, three-form φ on $M = (X' \times T^4)/f_\tau$.

Observe that the submanifolds

$$\begin{cases} X_4 = \left(X' \times T^1 \times \{(0,0,0)\}\right)/f_\tau, \\ X_5 = \left(X' \times T^1 \times \{(r,r,0) \,|\, r \in \mathbb{R}\}\right)/f_\tau, \\ X_6 = \left(X' \times T^3 \times \{0\}\right)/f_\tau, \end{cases}$$

satisfy

$$X \subset X_4 \subset X_5 \subset X_6 \subset M.$$

The next example shows that co-associative manifolds also arise in non trivial torsion classes of G_2-structures.

Example 4.8. Consider $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ spanned by the Pauli matrices $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$. Since the constant structures are $2\epsilon_{ijk}$ (sign of $(ijk) \in \mathbb{S}_3$) the isomorphism

$$f_* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

lies in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{su}(2))$. Hence it defines an (involutive) automorphism f of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. Denote by r_1, r_2, r_3 the left-invariant one-forms defined by the generators of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. Now let S^1 be the unit circle equipped with the angle coordinate r^0 and define $f_0 \in \mathrm{Diff}(S^1)$ as $f_0(e^{2\pi i r^0}) = e^{-2\pi i r^0}$. Obviously $f_0^* dr^0 = -dr^0$.

If X is a closed, non orientable, three-manifold let $X' \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ be its orientation covering with not trivial deck transformation τ. Suppose there is a global co-frame $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ verifying

$$\tau^* \alpha_1 = \alpha_3, \quad \tau^* \alpha_2 = \alpha_2.$$

Now, on $M' = X' \times S^1 \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$, define the stable and positive three-form

$$\tilde{\varphi} = (dr^0 \wedge \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3) r_1 + (dr^0 \wedge \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_3) r_2 + (dr^0 \wedge \alpha_3 + \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) r_3 + \\ - r_1 \wedge r_2 \wedge r_3.$$

Such $\tilde{\varphi}$ is invariant under the action of the involutive, with no fixed points, diffeomorphism

$$f_\tau = \tau \times f_0 \times f \in \mathrm{Diff}(M'),$$

so that it defines a stable and positive three-form φ on the compact manifold $M = M'/f_\tau$. Moreover, identifying X with the submanifold $(X' \times \{1\} \times \{1_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}\})/f_\tau$ or $(X' \times \{-1\} \times \{1_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}\})/f_\tau$, it turns out that $\varphi|_X = 0$.

Now if we consider X' to be the flat torus and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ as in Example 4.7, defining[9]

$$2\chi_3 = [r_1 \wedge r_2 \wedge r_3] \quad \text{hence} \quad 2 * \chi_3 = [-dr^0 \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3],$$

the G_2-structure defined above satisfies

$$\begin{cases} d\varphi = \frac{1}{2}\phi + *\chi_3, \\ d\phi = 0. \end{cases}$$

In particular the submanifold $Y = (X' \times S^1 \times \{1_{\mathrm{SU}(2)}\})/f_\tau$ is calibrated by ϕ, thus it is volume-minimizing in its homological class.

[9]Here, if $\delta \in \Omega^*(M')$ is invariant under f_τ^*, then $[\delta]$ denotes the correspondent differential form on M.

5 An homogeneous classification

In this section we classify all the $G-$homogeneous not-orientable $\varphi-$manifolds of $\mathbb{RP}^7$, where G is a closed subgroup of Spin(7) and $\mathbb{RP}^7$ is equipped with the canonical nearly parallel G_2-structure.

Definition 5.1. Let G be a closed and connected subgroup of Spin(7). We refer to a three-dimensional $G-$homogeneous $\varphi-$manifold X of $\mathbb{RP}^7$, or S^7, as *homogenous $\varphi-$manifold*.

Remark 5.2. In the previous sections we have seen examples of $\varphi-$manifolds arising as three-submanifolds of some co-associative ambient. In $\mathbb{RP}^7$ co-associative manifolds does not exist, therefore, in this setting, $\varphi-$manifolds cannot be extended, neither locally, to co-associative ones.

We are able to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3. *There exists a non-orientable, homogeneous, $\varphi-$manifold X in $\mathbb{RP}^7$. Moreover any other submanifold sharing the same properties can be turned into X by an element of* Spin(7).

First let us recall how the nearly parallel G_2-structure is defined. Let $\mathbb{R}^8$ be the fundamental Spin(7)$-$module and $\Phi_0 \in \Lambda^4(\mathbb{R}^8)^*$ the Spin(7)$-$invariant form given by

$$\Phi_0 = \frac{1}{2}\omega_0^2 + \Re(\Psi_0),$$

where $2i\omega_0 = dz_1 d\bar{z_1} + dz_2 d\bar{z_2} + dz_3 d\bar{z_3} + dz_4 d\bar{z_4}$ and $\Psi_0 = dz_1 dz_2 dz_3 dz_4$ with respect to complex coordinates (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) of $\mathbb{C}^4 = \mathbb{R}^8$. Let us identify $\mathbb{R}^8 \setminus \{0\}$ with $\mathbb{R}^* \times S^7$ via

$$\mathbb{R}^* \times S^7 \ni (r, x) \mapsto rx \in \mathbb{R}^8 \setminus \{0\}.$$

Then there exists a unique $\varphi \in \Omega^3(S^7)$ such that

$$\Phi_0 = r^3 dr \wedge \varphi + r^4 *_7 \varphi.$$

Such φ defines a Spin(7)$-$invariant, nearly parallel ($d\varphi = 4 *_7 \varphi$), G_2-structure on S^7, therefore on $\mathbb{RP}^7$. For a more exhaustive treatment see [9].

Remark 5.4. Clearly any $X \subset \mathbb{RP}^7$ arises from some $\mathbb{Z}_2-$invariant $X' \subset S^7$. Therefore the classification of not-orientable homogeneous $\varphi-$manifolds in $\mathbb{RP}^7$ reduces to the classification of homogeneous $\varphi-$manifolds in S^7 on which $-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ acts as an orientation reversing map. By abuse of notation we continue to denote X' by X.

The following result, which easily follows from standard representation theory, represents the main tool to prove Theorem (5.3).

Proposition 5.5. *If K is a three-dimensional closed and connected subgroup of* Spin(7) *then it is conjugated to one of the following, listed together with their defining representations on $\mathbb{R}^8$ and the dimensions d of their centralizers*[10]*,*

1. $\mathrm{U}(1)^3 \subset \mathrm{SU}(4)$ *via* $[\mathbb{C}^4]$ *with* $d = 0$*;*

[10] In the following if W is a complex $K-$module then $[W]$ denotes the real module equal to W whereas $[[W]]$ denotes the real module such that $\mathbb{C} \otimes [[W]] = W$.

2. $\mathrm{SU}(2) \subset \mathrm{SU}(4)$ *via* $[S^3\mathbb{C}^2]$ *with* $d = 1$*;*

3. $\mathrm{SU}(2) \subset \mathrm{SU}(4)$ *via* $[\mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2]$ *with* $d = 6$*;*

4. $\mathrm{SU}(2) \subset \mathrm{SU}(3) \subset G_2$ *via* $[\mathbb{C}^2] \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ *with* $d = 6$*;*

5. $\mathrm{SU}(2) \subset \mathrm{SO}(4) \subset G_2$ *via* $[[S^2\mathbb{C}^2]] \oplus [\mathbb{C}^2] \oplus \mathbb{R}$ *with* $d = 3$*;*

6. $\mathrm{SO}(3) \subset \mathrm{SU}(3) \subset G_2$ *via* $\mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ *with* $d = 1$*;*

7. $\mathrm{SO}(3) \subset G_2$ *via* $[[S^6\mathbb{C}^2]] \oplus \mathbb{R}$ *with* $d = 0$.

Proof. Clearly, by compactness, either K is abelian or it is locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. In the first case K is a maximal torus of $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$ and the proposition follows, so let us assume the second holds.

Firstly we prove that the above representations are the only admissible ones. Denote by $\mathfrak{k}$ the Lie algebra of K, which is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. The only irreducible real $\mathfrak{k}-$modules of dimension less than or equal to 8 are V_k for $k = \dim(V_k) = 1, 3, 4, 5, 7$ or 8, and the following are not allowed:

(i) $V_5 \oplus V_3$;

(ii) $V_5 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_1$;

(iii) $V_3 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_1$.

Indeed if one of these occurred, $\mathfrak{k}$ should be contained in the algebra of a stabiliser of a three-plane of $\mathbb{R}^8$, which is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(4) = \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ contained in some $\mathfrak{g}_2$. But the only three-dimensional simple subalgebras of $\mathfrak{so}(4)$, up to inner automorphism, are three not-equivalent $\mathfrak{so}(3)$: namely $\{(X, 0)\}$, $\{(0, X)\}$ and $\{(X, X)\}$ with representations on the correspondent $\mathbb{R}^7$ given by $\mathbb{R}^3 \oplus [\mathbb{C}^2]$, $\mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}$ respectively.

Now we prove that any previous representation is given by a unique, up to $\mathrm{Spin}(7)-$action, Lie subgroup. This essentially follows from Dynkin's classification of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ subalgebras of classical simple Lie algebras (see [12] and the references therein).

Clearly if K represents a maximal torus the claim is straightforward. Even if K lies in some G_2 there is nothing to prove: any subgroup of G_2, which is locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, must be conjugated to one of those listed above (see also [10]), and all the subgroups of $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$ isomorphic to G_2 are conjugated.

Let us suppose that K corresponds to one of the remain representations, that are (2) and (3). Clearly $-\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^8}$ belongs to K, therefore the projection of K into $\mathrm{SO}(7)$, say H, is a copy of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$. Since the only irreducible real $\mathrm{SO}(3)-$modules of dimension less than or equal to 7 are V_k with k equal to $1, 3, 5$ or 7 there are few admissible type-decompositions of $\mathbb{R}^7$. Moreover the restriction of the standard metric on each factor coincide, up to a scalar multiple, to the unique H-invariant metric, since the modules are of real type.

One can observe that $V_3 \oplus V_3 \oplus V_1$ and V_7 are modules realized by subgroups of some $G_2 \subset \mathrm{SO}(7)$ and thus they cannot occur in our hypothesis, but this is not relevant. In each case H must be cojugated, by an element of $\mathrm{O}(7)$, to the standard $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ defined by the correspondent representation; actually in $\mathrm{SO}(7)$, combing with $-\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^7}$. Therefore also K will be conjugated, by an element of $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$, to the appropriate $\mathrm{SU}(2)$.

Finally let $\mathfrak{z}$ be the Lie algebra of the centralizer of K in $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$.

In case 1 $d = 0$.

In case 2 it is well known that $\mathfrak{spin}(7) = \mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{m}$, where $\mathfrak{m} \cong [[\Lambda^2\mathbb{C}^4]]$ as SU(4)−modules. Therefore

$$\mathfrak{spin}(7) = \underbrace{\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{su}(4)} \oplus [[S^4\mathbb{C}^2]] \oplus [[\mathbb{C}]],$$

with $\mathrm{Ad}(\mathrm{SU}(2))\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}$, and consequently $\mathbb{R} \cong \mathfrak{z}$.

For the following cases let us recall that $\mathfrak{spin}(7) = \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathbb{R}^7$ as $\mathfrak{g}_2$−modules and that $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus [\mathbb{C}^3]$ as SU(3)−modules.

In case 3

$$\mathfrak{spin}(7) = \underbrace{\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus [S^2\mathbb{C}^2] \oplus [\mathbb{C}] \oplus \mathbb{R}}_{\mathfrak{su}(4)} \oplus [\mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}] \oplus [[\mathbb{C}]],$$

and thus $\mathfrak{z} \cong \mathbb{R}^6$.

In case 4

$$\mathfrak{spin}(7) = \underbrace{\underbrace{\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus [\mathbb{C}^2] \oplus \mathbb{R}}_{\mathfrak{su}(3)} \oplus [\mathbb{C}^2] \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus [\mathbb{C}^2] \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}}_{\mathfrak{g}_2},$$

and consequently $\mathfrak{z} \cong \mathbb{R}^6$.

In case 5

$$\mathfrak{spin}(7) = \underbrace{\underbrace{\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}}_{\mathfrak{so}(4)} \oplus [\mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2] \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus [\mathbb{C}^2]}_{\mathfrak{g}_2},$$

which means $\mathfrak{z} \cong \mathbb{R}^3$.

In case 6

$$\mathfrak{spin}(7) = \underbrace{\underbrace{\mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}^5}_{\mathfrak{su}(3)} \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}}_{\mathfrak{g}_2},$$

which gives $\mathfrak{z} \cong \mathbb{R}^1$.

Finally, in case 7, a direct computation shows that $d = 0$. □

Lemma 5.6. *Let G be a closed subgroup of* Spin(7) *and $X = G/H$ be an homogeneous not-associative three-submanifold of S^7. Then* $\dim(H) \leq 3$. *Moreover if* $\dim(H) = 1$ *then H^0 must fix at least four directions in $\mathbb{R}^8$.*

Proof. Let x be the origin point of X and $\rho : H \to T_xS^7$ be the isotropy representation. Clearly ρ is injective and from Section §1 it follows that

$$\rho(H^0) \subseteq \mathrm{Stab}(\varphi_x) \cap \mathrm{Stab}(T_xX)^0 \cong \mathrm{SO}(3),$$

where SO(3) acts on T_xS^7 as $\mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}$. Then the Lemma follows. □

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem of this section.

Proof of (5.3). Let G be a closed subgroup of Spin(7) whose three-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_2-$invariant orbit X trough $x \in S^7$ satisfies $\varphi|_X = 0$ and let H be the stabiliser of x in G. Let us denote the Lie algebras of G and H by $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ respectively.

First suppose that $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) = 3$. Then by Proposition (5.5) G belongs to one of seven conjugacy classes. If $X/\mathbb{Z}_2$ is not-orientable, by compactness, its first Betti number cannot vanish, as well as that of G. Therefore G would be conjugated to the assigned maximal torus $\mathrm{U}(1)^3$ of Spin(7); so let us assume they are equal. It is easy to see that $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts trivially on its Lie algebra, and therefore any $\mathrm{U}(1)^3-$orbit in $\mathbb{RP}^7$ is orientable.

Suppose $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) > 3$. Lemma (5.6) implies that $1 \leq \dim(\mathfrak{h}) \leq 3$. More precisely either $\dim(\mathfrak{h}) = 1$ or $\dim(\mathfrak{h}) = 3$; indeed SO(3) has rank one. In the second case X should be diffeomorphic to S^3 and thus have vanishing first Betti number; in particular $X/\mathbb{Z}_2$ will be orientable. Therefore assume $\dim(\mathfrak{h}) = 1$ and consequently $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) = 4$.

Let $G_s \ltimes T$ be the Levi decomposition of G, where G_s is a semisimple closed subgroup, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_s$, and T a torus, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$, lying in its centralizer in Spin(7). Since Spin(7) has rank three G_s must be three-dimensional and therefore conjugated to one of the simple Lie groups listed in Proposition (5.5) different from (7).

Let $\mathfrak{h}_s$ and $\mathfrak{h}_t$ be the projections of $\mathfrak{h}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_s$ and $\mathfrak{t}$ respectively. If $\mathfrak{h}_t$ was one-dimensional then $\{A.x \mid A \in \mathfrak{g}_s\}$ would generate all T_xX and consequently X should be G_s-homogeneous as well, and thus described by one of the previous cases. Therefore we can suppose that $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}_s$.

Then it is easy to see that the only two simple subgroups which admit non-trivial stabilisers are (5) and (6). But one can observe that in (5) any one-dimensional subspace generates a connected subgroup which fixes two lines only, in contrast with Lemma (5.6). Therefore the only admissible groups are conjugated to (6).

Let $(x_0, \dots, x_7)$ be standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^8$. With no loss of generality we can assume that G_s acts on $x_0 = x_7 = 0$: in fact Spin(7) is transitive on the Grassmannian of three-planes. Then a generic element $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ can be written as

$$A = \sum_{j=0}^{3} a_j E_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3\,a_0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 & a_2 & 0 & 0 & -a_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a_1 & 0 & -a_3 & 0 & a_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a_2 & a_3 & 0 & a_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_0 & 0 & a_3 & a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a_0 & 0 & -a_3 & 0 & a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_0 & 0 & 0 & -a_2 & -a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -3\,a_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where E_0 and E_1, E_2, E_3 span $\mathfrak{t}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_s$ respectively. Observe that T acts as a diagonal SO(2) on $(x_0, x_7, x_6, x_1, x_2, x_5, x_4, x_3)$; in particular $\mathbb{Z}_2 \subset T$ and $G_s \cap T = \{1\}$, whereas G_s acts as a diagonal SO(3) on $(x_1, x_2, x_3, -x_6, x_4, x_5)$. Therefore x must satisfy $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \wedge (-x_6, x_4, x_5) = 0$ to be fixed by some non-trivial subgroup, and $x_0^2 + x_7^2 < 1$ to have three-dimensional orbit. Clearly, acting with G, we can ensure that $x = (x_0, x_1, 0, 0, 0, 0, x_6, 0)$.

Since the tangent space to the orbit at x is three-dimensional and generated by

$$v_1 = (8x_0^2 + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} E_0 x,\ v_2 = (x_1^2 + x_6^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} E_1 x,\ v_3 = (x_1^2 + x_6^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} E_2 x,$$

it turns out that

$$|\varphi_x(v_1,v_2,v_3)| = |\Phi_0(x,v_1,v_2,v_3)| = 4\,\frac{|x_0x_6\left(3\,{x_1}^2-{x_6}^2\right)|}{({x_1}^2+{x_6}^2)\,\sqrt{8\,{x_0}^2+1}}.$$

We therefore see that the homogeneous $\varphi-$manifolds are those given by either $x_0x_6=0$ or $3x_1^2=x_6^2$. Let us describe the topology of these orbits. Consider a generic element $at\in H$, where $a\in G_s$ and $t\in T$. Then

$$x_0=(atx)_0=(tx)_0=cx_0,\quad c\in[-1,1].$$

Therefore, if $x_0\neq 0$, t equals the identity. Thus $H=H^0\cong \mathrm{SO}(2)$. In this case the orbit is isomorphic to $(\mathrm{SO}(3)/\mathrm{SO}(2))\times T\cong S^2\times S^1$ and the action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on X equals the antipodal map on S^1. Otherwise, if $x_0=0$, from $atx=x$ it follows that

$$a=\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23}\\ 0 & a_{32} & a_{33}\end{pmatrix},\ t=\begin{pmatrix} c & -s\\ s & c\end{pmatrix},\ a_{11}c=1.$$

Consequently

$$H=\mathrm{O}(2)\tilde{\times}\mathbb{Z}_2=(\mathrm{O}(2)\times\mathbb{Z}_2)/((1_{\mathrm{O}(2)},1_{\mathbb{Z}_2})\sim(-1_{\mathrm{O}(2)},-1_{\mathbb{Z}_2}))\subset\mathrm{SO}(3)\times T,$$

and the action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on the orbit is given by

$$G/H\ni gH\mapsto(-1_{\mathbb{Z}_2})gH\in G/H$$

Thus

$$(G/H)/\mathbb{Z}^2=(\mathrm{SO}(3)\times T)/(\mathrm{O}(2)\times\mathbb{Z}_2)\cong(\mathrm{SO}(3)/\mathrm{O}(2))\times(T/\mathbb{Z}_2)\cong\mathbb{RP}^2\times S^1.$$

Acting with T we can ensure that $x_6=0$ and then see that the orbit is unique, passing through $x=(0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0)$ and defined by the intersection of the totally geodesic $\mathbb{RP}^5$, of equations $x_0=x_7=0$, and three quadrics

$$\{x_1x_5+x_2x_6=0\}\cap\{x_1x_4+x_3x_6=0\}\cap\{x_2x_4-x_3x_5=0\}.$$

□

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Prof. F. Podestà for all the useful suggestions and conversations he shared with him, to Prof. A. Fino for her constant interest in his work and finally to "Università degli studi di Firenze" for all the support he received.

References

[1] S. Bochner, *Analytic mapping of compact Riemannian spaces into Euclidean space*, Duke Math. J. 3 (1937), 339–354.

[2] Glen E. Bredon and John W. Wood, *Non-Orientable Surfaces in Orientable 3-Manifolds*, Inventiones math. 7, 83 - 110 (1969).

[3] Glen E. Bredon, *Introduction to compact trasformation groups*. Academic Press (1972).

[4] Robert L. Bryant, *Metrics with exceptional holonomy*, Ann. of Math. 126 (1987), 525 – 576.

[5] Robert L. Bryant, *Exterior Differential Systems*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1991).

[6] Robert L. Bryant, *Calibrated embeddings in the special lagrangian and co-associative cases*. Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry 18, Issue 3, 405-435 (2000).

[7] T. Friedrich, I. Kath, A. Moroianu, U. Semmelmann. *On nearly parallel G2-structures*. Journal of Geometry and Physics, Volume 23, Issue 3-4, p. 259-286 (1997).

[8] N.J. Hitchin, *Stable forms and special metrics*. In Global Differential Geometry: The Mathematical Legacy of Alfred Gray. Contemporary Mathematics 288. (Bilbao, 2000).

[9] J. Lotay, *Associative Submanifolds of the 7-Sphere*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society 105(6) (June 2010).

[10] K. Mashimo, *Homogeneous totally real submanifolds of* S^6, Tsukuba J. Math. 9 (1985) 185–202.

[11] Robert C. McLean, *Deformations Of Calibrated Submanifolds*. lnventiones math. 7, 83 - 110 (1969) Commun. Analy. Geom. 6, 705 - 747 (1996).

[12] A.L. Onishchik E. B. Vinberg (Eds.), *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras III. Structure of Lie Groups and Lie Algebras*. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences Vol 41. 1994. Springer-Verlag.

[13] J. Milnor and J. Stasheff, *Characteristic Classes*, Annals of Math Studies 76, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1974).